



ANNUAL REPORT

2024



Dedicated to defending the
constitutionally protected
rights and freedoms of
Canadians

theccf.ca

6025 - 12 St SE, #215
Calgary, AB

1.888.695.9105

CONTENTS

<u>About the CCF</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Foreword</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Ongoing Litigation</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>New Litigation</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Runnymede Society</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Primary Documents</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Our Podcast</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Communications</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Audited Financials</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Contact</u>	<u>21</u>



The Canadian Constitution Foundation (CCF) is a national and non-partisan charity.

We are dedicated to defending the constitutionally protected rights and freedoms of Canadians, and to maintaining Canada's constitution, including its federal structure and division of powers, as intended in the *Constitution Act, 1867*.

Our charitable registration number is:
86617 6654 RR0001.

o o o o

OUR MANDATE

INDIVIDUAL FREEDOMS

(Section 2 of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms):

Freedom of conscience and religion

Freedom of thought, belief, opinion, and expression

Freedom of peaceful assembly

Freedom of association

LIBERTY

(Section 7 of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms):

The right to life, liberty and security of the person.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

(Section 15 of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms):

The right to equality before the law for all individuals.

FEDERALISM

(Sections 91, 92 and 92A of the Constitution Act, 1867):

Defend the federal division of powers between Parliament and the Provinces. Minimize government overreach that upsets the constitutional compromise reached by Canada's Fathers of Confederation.



We defend rights and
liberties other groups
often ignore.

Litigation Director
Christine Van Geyn

Foreword

This past year has been one of immense activity and accomplishment for the Canadian Constitution Foundation (CCF).

This past year has been one of immense activity and accomplishment for the Canadian Constitution Foundation (CCF). Our steadfast commitment to defending the constitutionally protected rights and freedoms of Canadians has been reflected through historic victories and ongoing efforts across a range of legal battles. The breadth of issues we have tackled over the past year underscores both the complexity of the legal landscape and the significant role that the CCF continues to play in ensuring government accountability and upholding constitutional values.

Litigation has remained at the heart of the Foundation's work, and our legal team has worked tirelessly to ensure rights remain respected across multiple fronts. A major highlight of the year was our success in the *Emergencies Act* challenge in January 2024. Justice Mosley of the Federal Court of Canada ruled in favor of CCF's arguments, declaring that the government's use of emergency powers was not justified, and that it violated the *Charter* rights to free expression and protection against unreasonable searches. This victory is among the most significant in our history, reaffirming our commitment to ensuring that civil liberties are protected, even during periods of national uncertainty.

In addition to our work surrounding the *Emergencies Act*, the CCF took a strong stance on federalism with a pivotal victory in the *Impact Assessment Act Reference*. The Supreme Court of Canada ruled that the federal legislation was an unconstitutional encroachment on provincial jurisdiction. Our arguments supporting the division of powers were instrumental in securing this decision, which reinforced the importance of protecting provincial autonomy and minimizing undue federal interference.

We also made substantial progress in protecting free speech, launching several notable challenges. A landmark case was initiated against the City of Calgary for banning protests in specific areas, which we argue impinges upon the essential freedoms of expression and assembly.

Our dedication to defending individual rights is not limited to matters of free speech and federalism. In British Columbia, we continued to challenge the discriminatory nature of the province's vaccine passport system, advocating on behalf of medically vulnerable individuals who had been unfairly denied exemptions. Although the pandemic is behind us, crucial questions about how governments can act remain unanswered.

The CCF has also engaged in issues concerning Indigenous rights, federalism, and the rule of law. The *Dickson v. Vuntut Gwitchin First Nation* case reached the Supreme Court of Canada, and we were pleased that key questions about the Charter's application in Indigenous governance were recognized. Our role in this case reflects our broader commitment to ensuring that constitutional protections apply equally to all Canadians, regardless of their community or heritage.

Looking forward, we initiated eight new litigation matters over the last year, reflecting our growing footprint. I invite you to review our current litigation portfolio in the pages of this report.

Beyond our courtroom victories, the CCF remains dedicated to public education and outreach. This year, we made significant strides with the launch of the Not Reserving Judgment podcast, a weekly show that discusses the latest developments in constitutional law. This podcast has quickly gained a substantial following and has become an important platform for raising awareness about key legal issues affecting Canadians today.

Additionally, we continued to build on the success of our educational courses, offering Canadians accessible resources to better understand their constitutional rights. A new course focusing on free expression was launched in early 2024, complementing our ongoing efforts to ensure Canadians know about the rights they enjoy under our Constitution.

The CCF also expanded its reach through our communications platforms, with impressive growth in both newsletter subscribers and engagement on social media. Our YouTube channel saw exceptional growth, reflecting the public's keen engagement with our work.

Looking ahead, we remain poised to take on new challenges and continue our fight for a freer Canada. Whether it's defending the rights of individuals, protecting free speech, or ensuring the balance of federal and provincial powers is respected, the CCF is unwavering in its mission to uphold the Constitution.

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to our supporters, donors, and partners for their continued commitment to our cause.

Your support has made every success of this past year possible, and we look forward to achieving even greater victories together in the year ahead.



JOANNA BARON
Executive Director

Litigation



PREPARED BY
Russell Phillips

The Canadian Constitution Foundation has had five important courtroom victories in the last year defending rights and our country's constitution.

[Emergencies Act challenge](#)

CCF v. Attorney General of Canada

Victory!

On February 14, 2022, Prime Minister Trudeau's government invoked the never before used *Emergencies Act*. Within days, the Canadian Constitution Foundation filed a legal challenge against this unprecedented and dangerous use of the Act. The use of this extraordinary piece of legislation in response to the trucker convoy protests was unnecessary and damaging to both Canada's democracy and our shared rights and liberties as Canadians.

On January 23, 2024 Justice Mosley of the Federal Court of Canada accepted the CCF's arguments that the invocation of the *Emergencies Act* was unreasonable and violated the *Charter* rights to expression and security against unreasonable searches and seizures. This was one of the most significant victories in our Foundation's history. The government is determined to appeal and we now await a court hearing at the Federal Court of Appeal to be scheduled for either late 2024 or early 2025.

[Protecting federalism and the rule of law](#)

Reference re Impact Assessment Act

Victory!

The CCF was pleased to receive a favourable decision from the Supreme Court of Canada on Oct 13, 2023, holding that the federal *Impact Assessment Act* (the "IAA") is an unconstitutional violation of provincial constitutional jurisdiction. From its inception, the IAA faced fierce opposition on the basis that it significantly intruded into provincial jurisdiction, caused significant delays and regulatory uncertainty, and dissuaded investment.

The CCF is pleased that its submissions with respect to the role of severance in constitutional cases were of assistance to the Court, which found that a narrow "secondary scheme" in the IAA related to the regulation of federal projects could be severed from the unconstitutional remainder of the Act.

Litigation

No to absolute government Immunity

Canada v Joseph Power

Victory!

On July 19, 2024, the Supreme Court of Canada ruled that the state is not entitled to absolute immunity from damages when it enacts unconstitutional legislation that infringes *Charter* rights. The Court agreed with the CCF's narrow view that damages should be available where legislation is unconstitutional on its face, where the state was reckless or wilfully blind as to a law's unconstitutionality and where there was evidence of bad faith or an abuse of power including where the state acted dishonestly or with an improper purpose.

The government argued that it has absolute immunity, and Power, who claims to have suffered damages flowing from unconstitutional legislation, said otherwise.

First-past-the-post electoral system case

Canada v. Fair Voting BC & Springtide Collective for Democracy Society

Victory!

Late last year, an Ontario court agreed with the CCF's arguments in a decision that finds the first-past-the-post system of voting constitutional. Justice Morgan of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice relied on arguments that the CCF put forward as an intervener that the first-past-the-post system is supported by sections 37 to 52 of the *Constitution Act, 1867*, and that the *Charter of Rights and Freedoms* cannot abrogate those provisions.

Now the CCF has been granted intervener status as the case heads to the Court of Appeal of Ontario.

Math is not racist

Petrucci v. Ontario

Victory!

Ontario's Court of Appeal accepted the CCF's arguments that requiring schoolteacher candidates to pass a math proficiency test does not violate the *Charter of Rights and Freedom's* equality guarantee. The court overturned a bizarre lower court decision, which had found that the section 15 *Charter* right to equal protection under the law was violated by the mandatory tests because results from field testing and early sittings of the test suggested a disparity in outcomes between different racial groups. We do not expect this challenge to go any further.

PREPARED BY
Russell Phillips

Litigation



PREPARED BY
Russell Phillips

[Challenge against B.C.'s discriminatory vaccine passports](#)

Kassian et al v. British Columbia

After appearing in the B.C. Court of Appeal nearly a year ago, we have been left waiting an unusually long time for a decision. The government had unsuccessfully argued that the case was moot at the lower level, and they attempted this again at the B.C. Court of Appeal. We eagerly await a decision from the court that will better protect people in the future if this type of policy is ever re-introduced.

The CCF brought this case with two women and one girl, who were seeking good faith medical exemptions. Two of the petitioners working with the CCF had received a first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine to which they suffered severe adverse reactions, and the third had been disabled since birth, was extremely medically complex, had undergone 15 surgeries, and had a history of adverse drug reactions.

[Calgary protest ban](#)

Canadian Constitution Foundation of City of Calgary

The CCF is challenging a Calgary bylaw that prohibits protests that express “objection or disapproval” towards ideas or actions related to “race, religious beliefs, colour, gender, gender identity, gender expression, physical disability, mental disability, age, ancestry, place of origin, marital status, source of income, family status or sexual orientation”. It is not for the government to tell Canadians what they may or may not protest. After an unusually long wait, we will finally have our day in court in February of 2025.

[Indigenous government and the Charter](#)

Dickson v. Vuntut Gwitchin First Nation

The Supreme Court of Canada released its decision on this case on March 28, 2024. This important decision confirms that section 25 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* will only act as a shield against *Charter* rights such as equality where the Indigenous entity invoking it can show an irreconcilable conflict between the *Charter* right and the Aboriginal, treaty or other right or its exercise. This means that the fundamental protections of the *Charter* will continue to apply in most cases despite Aboriginal self-government agreements. Though our position was not adopted, we were pleased to see some of the CCF's concerns about creating *Charter*-free zones directly engaged with by the dissent.

New Litigation



The CCF had its busiest year yet, with **eight new cases** added to our existing caseload defending everything from free expression and federalism to religious freedom.

[Defending federalism \(plastics ban case\)](#)

Canada v. Responsible Plastic Use Coalition et al

The CCF appeared as an intervener in the legal challenge to the federal “plastic ban” heard in June, 2024 at the Federal Court of Appeal. The CCF argued that the federal “plastics ban” is outside the jurisdiction of Parliament’s criminal law power. While previous court rulings have found and affirmed that prohibiting truly toxic substances, like lead and mercury, under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* is a legitimate expression of that power, it remains important that the criminal law power is not abused to justify the sweeping inclusion of every imaginable plastic product onto the list of “toxic” substances. Federal criminal law is not supposed to be a mere shortcut for the federal government to regulate provincial matters when deemed necessary. We currently await the decision from court.

[Cambie costs case](#)

Cambie v. MSCB et al.

The BC government is demanding that the CCF and its partners pay \$1.7 million dollars to cover some of the legal bills they racked up fighting against Canadians’ rights in our [healthcare freedom case](#) that concluded last year. To put that amount into perspective, the Canadian Constitution Foundation spent \$2.1 million on **all** of our work—including all cases, special projects and events—in the last fiscal year.

The BC government wants to punish the CCF and its supporters for engaging in obviously public interest litigation. If the BC government gets their way, it will discourage all civil society groups from standing up for your rights and chill attempts to challenge government overreach through all types of *Charter* litigation.

PREPARED BY
Russell Phillips

New Litigation



[Prince Edward Island free expression case](#)

Robertson v. Murray Harbour

The CCF is assisting a municipal councillor named John Robertson in Prince Edward Island facing unconstitutional sanctions and possible removal from council for exercising his right to freedom of expression. Mr. Robertson placed a sign on his private property that said: “TRUTH: MASS GRAVE HOAX. RECONCILIATION: REDEEM SIR JOHN A’S INTEGRITY.”

And instead of letting voters express their potential disagreement with this position at the ballot box, his opponents are attempting to use the village’s Code of Conduct to oust him from his position. Mr. Robertson has a constitutionally-protected right to express his viewpoint on his private property without facing sanctions or removal from his council position. We await our hearing date to fight against this obvious injustice.

[Freedom of information case \(UAPs and UFOs\)](#)

The Canadian Constitution Foundation is assisting a freelance investigative journalist in his appeal of a decision by Ontario Power Generation (OPG) to deny him access to records about Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP) and Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) detected at or near nuclear power plants.

It is essential in a free society that journalists and the public at large are able to access information entitled to them under Canada’s freedom of information laws. We currently await a hearing date.

[Interprovincial travel case](#)

Taylor v. Canada

The case *Taylor v. Canada* arises from a matter in which a woman residing in Nova Scotia, Kimberley Taylor, was initially denied permission to travel to Newfoundland in April 2020 to attend her mother’s funeral. We have been granted intervener status in an upcoming Supreme Court of Canada hearing about whether Canadian citizens have a constitutionally-protected right to interprovincial travel.

It is clear that the framers of the constitution intended to create a confederation that allowed citizens and permanent residents to travel, work, and participate in the electoral process throughout Canada, and that requires a constitutional right to travel *simpliciter*. We are waiting for a hearing date.

PREPARED BY
Russell Phillips

New Litigation



PREPARED BY
Russell Phillips

[Quebec school prayer ban challenge](#)

Association Canadienne Des Libertes Civiles c. Procureur General Du Quebec

We will be assisting the court in Quebec as an intervener in a constitutional challenge to a directive from Quebec's minister of education that bans conspicuous prayer on school properties.

The CCF will argue that the new policy amounts to a total ban on prayer for some students including Muslim students whose faith requires them to pray conspicuously during school hours. The CCF will also explain how the deleterious effects of the directive on the religious rights of children outweigh any benefits in the form of increased respect for state secularism, which is the minister's stated goal. We currently await a hearing date.

[Waterloo's petty 'harassment' bylaw \(free expression case\)](#)

CCF v Region of Waterloo

In our most recent announcement, the CCF filed a Notice of Application with the Ontario Superior Court in Kitchener seeking to quash an amendment to the Region of Waterloo's Code of Use By-law, which infringes the constitutional freedoms of expression and peaceful assembly, and is beyond the powers of the Region to enact. Ignoring our previous warning that the amendment would be blatantly unconstitutional and far exceed the powers of a municipal council, the Region of Waterloo Council voted in favour of amending its [Code of Use By-Law #13-050](#) to prohibit communications that "reasonably constitute harassment," including placards and speeches on regional property such as parks, trails and regional headquarters.

[Niagara Region's State of Emergency over a solar eclipse](#)

Renaud & CCF v. Niagara

Niagara's Regional Council unlawfully declared a state of emergency prior to the solar eclipse in April of 2024 that was viewable from the Region. Though a less serious abuse, like our Emergencies Act challenge this is an example of government using extraordinary legal powers simply because it is convenient rather than because it is actually needed. The definition of "emergency" in law **must** remain narrow because states of emergency are often used to limit property rights and infringe on civil liberties such as freedom of assembly and freedom of association. We are waiting a court date as a public interest litigant.



RUNNYMEDE SOCIETY



The Runnymede Society is a membership-based organization that invites speakers from all across the ideological spectrum to debate legal ideas that engage the rule of law, constitutionalism, and individual liberty. The goal is to focus on these age-old principles in the context of real issues that are not often explored in law school classrooms.

Some notable events include:

University of Alberta: "The Importance of Historical Perspective"

Featuring Justice Malcolm Rowe of the Supreme Court of Canada, this event explored how historical perspectives, the judicial system, and John Rawls' philosophy inform our understanding of liberal democracy in Canada today.

McGill University: "Common Good Constitutionalism: A Lecture and Discussion with Adrian Vermeule"

At this event from our McGill student chapter, Professor Adrian Vermeule of Harvard University spoke on his 2022 book, "Common Good Constitutionalism".

Osgoode Hall: "Judicial Notice: A Panel Discussion"

Justice Marshall Rothstein of the Supreme Court of Canada and Justice Grant Huscroft of the Court of Appeal for Ontario attended a panel discussion on judicial notice and its impact on how judges think and reason.

University of Manitoba: "An Evening with Chief Justice Joyal"

Chief Justice Joyal of the Court of King's Bench of Manitoba joined students and lawyers alike at the University of Manitoba in an event jointly hosted by our student and lawyer chapters in Winnipeg.

PREPARED BY
Tim Haggstrom
Naomi Papavero



RUNNYMEDE SOCIETY



PREPARED BY
Tim Haggstrom
Naomi Papavero

The past year for the Runnymede Society has been marked with change and growth. With 39 events, over 150 new student members, and over 1,000 people attending our events, the previous year's record numbers were broken once again.

This year's sold-out conference, with over 200 attendees, was a major highlight of the year for Runnymede and the momentum continued as these encore presentations were posted on Runnymede Radio.

The keynote address was delivered by Justice Malcolm Rowe of the Supreme Court of Canada. In Justice Rowe's address, "Constitutionalism in a Free and Democratic Society," he touched on the relationship between democratically elected institutions and the courts. Some of the other panels and sessions that ended up on Runnymede Radio included:

- **Emerging Trends in Federalism:** featuring Aaron Wudrick of the Macdonald-Laurier Institute as moderator along with Brett Carlson, Patricia Paradis, Derek Ross, and Professor Mary Shariff.
- **What Comes Next After the Emergencies Act?:** moderated by Mark Mancini and featuring Professor Ryan Alford, Sujit Choudhry, and Professor Michelle Gallant.
- **A Fireside Discussion with the Chief Justice of Ontario, Michael Tulloch:** a discussion on the rule of law and legal practice.
- **Reputation and Free Expression in Defamation Law:** featuring Adam Goldenberg, Asher Honickman, and Lorne Honickman, this panel discussion was moderated by Kristopher Kinsinger.
- **Common Law Rights and Government Accountability:** moderated by Professor Gerard Kennedy of the University of Alberta, this panel discussion featured Professor Michelle Gallant, Paul Warchuk, and Samuel Beswick.
- **Administrative Law and Charter Values:** a panel discussion moderated by Moira Lavoie of Reynolds Mirth Richards & Farmer LLP, featuring Justice David Stratas of the Federal Court of Appeal, Jennifer Bernardo, and Mark Mancini.



RUNNYMEDE SOCIETY



PREPARED BY
Tim Haggstrom
Naomi Papavero



Student Summit

From July 5 to 7, 2024, more than two dozen of Runnymede's student chapter leaders from across the country met in Ottawa for our annual student leadership conference. This conference featured substantive sessions from leading lawyers, law professors, and sitting judges—including Justice Malcolm Rowe of the Supreme Court of Canada—about students' futures after law school, to hear reflections on the role of the judiciary in Canada, and to prepare for the upcoming school year of Runnymede events. Students attendees reported their gratitude for the chance to meet and build relationships with other students across the country who share Runnymede values.

Lawyer's Chapters

Lawyer's chapters have continued strong, with the launch of a lawyer's chapter in St. John's, Newfoundland bringing us to a total of eight chapters. Justice Malcolm Rowe spoke at their first event, "What Trial Lawyers Need to Know About Appeals," which attracted over 40 lawyers in the area. The very first Lawyers Retreat also happened in Ottawa this year, allowing our lawyer's chapter leaders to network and attend sessions geared to help them run their chapters across Canada.

Runnymede Radio

With new staff and leadership comes opportunities to revisit our roots. The first episode of Runnymede Radio's Fall season featured Joanna Baron, the founding National Director of the Runnymede Society, as she and Tim delved into Runnymede's past, present, and future. Interested in hearing more about our vision going forward? Give it a listen.



RUNNYMEDE SOCIETY



A Message from Our New National Director

Meet Tim Haggstrom, the new National Director of the Runnymede Society.

Starting in June 2024, his leadership will mark a new chapter for the Society.



"When I joined the Runnymede Society in June 2024, the organization was flush with engaged volunteer students and lawyers across the nation, it had already proven its rich culture of intellectual diversity and rigour, and "Runnymede" was a well recognized name in the Canadian legal community. In the years ahead, we expect to continue to grow and make our mark as a forum with varied perspectives—especially those that challenge orthodoxies—to uphold the principles of constitutionalism, the rule of law, and fundamental freedoms."

A new Operations and Communications Director:

In April 2024, Runnymede was thrilled to welcome Naomi Papavero as its new Operations and Communications Director. Naomi hit the ground running, combining her interest in the law with an acumen in deploying communication strategies and fostering collaboration between our volunteers all across Canada.

PREPARED BY
Tim Haggstrom
Naomi Papavero

PrimaryDocuments.ca

A searchable database of historical documents relating to the drafting and adoption of the Constitution of Canada.

Discoveries: New Charter Drafts and More

In 2023–2024, the PrimaryDocuments project made significant discoveries in the constitutional history of Canada. Our team has unearthed hundreds of documents from the constitutional period of 1980–1982, including 153 never-before-published drafts and memoranda on the *Charter of Rights*. These drafts including new wholesale drafts of the *Constitution Act, 1982* and also drafts of single provisions. These include documents that had previously been closed off to the public. Our team got access to these files and have made them public for the first time. In addition to these important drafts going on our website, there will be a forthcoming academic article on our finds.

In addition to *Charter* drafts, there are hundreds of other documents from this era, including drafts of the non-*Charter* sections, which are in the midst of being transcribed, classified, and put on the website in the coming months.

Finally, our team has discovered new drafts and documents from the Confederation era, including drafts of the *British North America Act, 1867* and London Resolutions. These will also be featured on the website in the coming months.

Publications

In the 2022–2023 report, we expected to publish the *The Quebec Resolutions* (CCF, 2021) and *The Confederation Debates in the Province of Canada* (CCF, 2022) in print editions in the coming year. These editions were, unfortunately, delayed due to the *Charter* discoveries. We can announce that a print edition of *The Quebec Resolutions* is due to be released by the end of October. This edition is greatly expanded from the original, including letters and speeches from the period. In addition to these publications, our team will publish a book on the *Notwithstanding Clause*, which includes the never-before-published drafts.

Statistics

PrimaryDocuments continues to be used as a valuable tool by universities, courts, scholarship in Canada and abroad, and in media publications. Our website is cited as a resource in library guides and courses across Canadian universities, from UBC to McGill.

In terms of raw numbers, PrimaryDocuments has increased the holdings in its collection to over 4,800 documents, up from 4,500 last year. In terms of unique visitors to the site, we received 12,292 users in 2023–2024.



Our Podcast

The Canadian Constitution Foundation's podcast
Not Reserving Judgment
is now one year old with 59 episodes released so far.

The Canadian Constitution Foundation's podcast Not Reserving Judgment is now one year old with over 59 episodes and counting.

We're now the top Canadian-made legal podcast, and we're quickly moving up the ranks in Canadian news commentary.

In each episode, CCF Counsel Josh Dehaas, CCF Executive Director Joanna Baron, and CCF Litigation Director Christine Van Geyn discuss the latest legal news and offer their Bad Legal Takes of the Week.

You can find Not Reserving Judgment on Apple, Spotify, Google, YouTube, and wherever else you find your podcasts. You can also stream it directly from our website.

Recent topics have included:

- Authoritarian city bylaws
- The right to protest and its limits
- Our latest case victories
- Can cops enter your driveway?



Communications

Sept 1, 2023 to August 31, 2024



The Canadian Constitution Foundation received international news coverage following our victory in the Federal Court of Appeal for the *Emergencies Act* challenge, appearing in outlets such as the BBC and Reuters.

Highlights

- Our email newsletter list remains our most important platform and our best way to communicate with “true believers” invested in the CCF’s greater project.
- YouTube is definitively now our most important social media platform, and our channel saw substantial growth again with 36% more subscribers bringing the total to 82,237.
- Our YouTube channel received 213,635 interactions from users, including likes, shares and comments. Videos received 2.34 million views (compared to 1.7 million in the last period).
- The Learning website (theccf.ca/learn) launched a new course focussing on Free Expression in January, 2024. 1,081 new users in the last year with 4,055 users in total registered to the website since it launched in 2022.
- Our end-of-year fundraising campaign in 2022 raised \$88,118 prior to applying any matching funds. In 2023, our end-of-year fundraising campaign raised a massive **\$115,067** in donations for the new year’s activities.

+36%

60,358 to 82,237

Increase in
Youtube Subscribers

+25%

26,451 to 33,013

Increase in
Newsletter Subscribers

Audited Financials

April 1, 2023 to March 31, 2024

REVENUE: **100% PRIVATELY FUNDED**

Contributions & Grants:	\$1,498,932
Restricted Contributions:	\$503,819
Other Revenue:	\$226,376
Government Funding:	\$0
 Total Revenue:	 \$2,229,127

EXPENSES:

Project Activities:	\$880,179
Staff Salaries	\$679,209
Office & Administration	\$325,701
Travel & Fundraising	\$220,323
Amortization & Realized Loss	\$1,567
 Total Expenses:	 \$2,106,979



CANADIAN CONSTITUTION FOUNDATION

The **Canadian Constitution Foundation** is a national and non-partisan charity. Our mission is to defend the constitutionally protected rights and freedoms of Canadians, and uphold the constitution and its liberty-promoting features, including Canadian federalism.

All photos in this report used under licence or with permission.

Contact by phone or email

(Toll Free) 1.888.695.9105 — info@theccf.ca

By mail

Canadian Constitution Foundation
6025—12 St SE, Suite 215
Calgary, AB T2H 2K1

Charitable Number: 86617 6654 RR0001